Dear Sir or Madam

Further to Part 1 I wish to make the following observations.

1. Timing

Please delay the start of your examination until after the Planning Inspectorate has decided whether to accept EDF's latest application. This review is complex enough without that variable still in the air. EDFs claim of urgency is purely a device - it is essential that the forthcoming elections are complete and anyway there is still no funding model resolved.

2. Coastal defences

This is a critical issue and must not be pushed out of the examination. EDF's plans are incomplete and the already excessive use of the 'Rochdale' envelope argument shows that EDF knows this is a hard issue to resolve positively.

3. Financing

It cannot be logical to consider such a major application without this being a key debating point [and not just funding for compulsory purchases].

4. Air quality

We are very concerned about the impact of borrow pits and spoil heaps on local communities and the wider environment eg RSPB Minsmere.

5. Double standards

We were very concerned to hear the phrase '**important** interested parties' used by EDF counsel unchallenged by the inspectors. Equally that the inspectors allowed the EDF counsel to define the Rochdale envelope, rather than to refer themselves to the PINS definition..

6. Site visits

Both the Hinckley Point and Sizewell visits should be accompanied by representation from Stop Sizewell C. Plans for these should be delayed until Deadline 1.

7. Get away from virtual meetings!

Please, please move away from virtual meetings just as soon as government restrictions allow.

Many thanks

Richard and Verena Gray